



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCUMENTS	
10/075,041	02/12/2002	Marc Husemann	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. tesa AG 1523-WCG	CONFIRMATION NO
27386 7590 01/20/2004 WILLIAM GERSTENZANG NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.			EXAMINER ASINOVSKY, OLGA	
	1711			
	DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ã	

Office Action S	Summarv
-----------------	---------

			_
Application No.	Applicant(s) HUSEMANN ET AL.		
10/075,041			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Olga Asinovsky	1711		
			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Part received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.

	earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Status	an three months after the mailing dat CFR 1.704(b).	e of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
	1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on 07 Nove	mber 2003	
	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)☐ This act		
	3) Since this application is closed in accordance w	in condition for allowance	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
	Disposition of Claims	·	1.00 0.0. 210.	
	5) Claim(s) is/are al) <u>7-12 <i>and 14</i></u> is/are withdi lowed.	awn from consideration.	
ĺ	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 13</u> is/a 7)□ Claim(s) is/are ob			
ĺ	8) Claim(s) are subj			
l	Application Papers	socio restriction and/or ele	ction requirement.	
	Applicant may not request to Replacement drawing shee	is/are: a) accepte at any objection to the draw t(s) including the correction is	d or b) objected to by the Examiner. ing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	nd 120	The discourse of the Action of form P10-152.	
	12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certified application from the * See the attached detailed (13) Acknowledgment is made (13) Acknowledgment is made (13) The translation of the 14) Acknowledgment is made (14) Acknowledgment is made (15)	e of a claim for foreign price. None of: the priority documents have the priority documents have ied copies of the priority desemble a laternational Bureau (PC). Office action for a list of the priority of a claim for domestic price is included in the first service of a claim for domestic price of a claim for domestic price of a claim for domestic price.	ve been received in Application No comments have been received in this National Stage of Rule 17.2(a)). concerning copies not received. continuous 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application and the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. concerning application has been received.)
	Totoloride was included iff (i	le lifst sentence of the spe	ecification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	
	Attachment(s)			
2	 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (6 	a Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

3) Anformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/075,041

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 and 13 are under examination.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-6 and 13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 11 of copending Application No. 10/077,658. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the chemical formulation of the pressure-sensitive adhesive (psa) composition having an outgassing level preferably less than 10mg/g in claims 1, 2 and 11 of copending Application No. 10/077,658 is readable in the chemical formulation of a psa in the present claims. The rejection is set forth at pages 2-4 of the office action mailed on 08/07/2003. The applicants' comment has been considered.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,041 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 11199832 or Pakusch et al U.S. Patent 6,552,116.
- 5. The rejections are set forth at pages 4-7 of the office action mailed on 08/07/02 and incorporated here by references.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed on Nov. 07, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicants' argument on JP'832 is that JP'832 does not teach that the composition has at least two-phase domain structure. JP'832 discloses a (meth)acrylic acid alkyl ester and monoethylenic unsaturated monomer copolymerizable with a said ester. The chemical formulation of the psa composition in JP'832 is disclosing at least two-phase structure. Reference does not disclose a process of making a psa. However, in the first step a methacrylic acid alkyl ester is polymerizing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that a monoethylenically unsaturated monomer is polymerizing in a separate phase from the polymerization of a (meth)acrylic ester monomer. The prima facie case of obviousness is that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that a copolymer comprising a polymerizable

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/075,041

Art Unit: 1711

(meth)acrylic ester and monoethylenic unsaturated monomer is a two-phase polymerization process.

- 8. The applicants' argument on Pakusch'116 is that reference does not disclose a pressure-sensitive adhesive composition. This argument is not persuasive. The chemical formulation of the composition in Pakusch is readable in applicants' claims. The composition in Pakusch can be used as a coating composition=paint, column 7, lines 15-17. It is well known that paint has an adhesive property. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the to consider that upon the evaporation of water and solvent in the emulsion of the (co)polymer under the desired level, the resultant (co)polymer can be used as a pressure sensitive adhesive because the composition will retain the adhesive property, and, thereby, obtain a pressure-sensitive composition. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to consider that a (meth)acrylate ester-based composition in Pakusch invention has a low outgassing level since a said composition does not contain solvent.
- 9. Claims 1-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pakusch et al U.S.Patent 6,552,116 in view of Haak et al U.S. Patent 6,126,865.
- 10. Applicants' argument is that nothing in either Pakusch or Haak teaching a pressure-sensitive adhesive having a low outgassing level. The teachings in these references are not combined, since Pakusch does not disclose a pressure-sensitive adhesive and Haak does not disclose two-phase domain adhesive having a low outgassing level.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,041

Art Unit: 1711

11. At least two-phase domain in a pressure-sensitive adhesive is readable in Haak' invention. Also, Haak does concern about outgassing level of the psa composition because the psa adhesive can be used for the computer applications, column 12, lines 11-13.

Pakusch and Haak disclose the analogous composition based on (meth)acrylic ester. The composition in Pakusch' invention has adhesive property. The composition are in the form of emulsion=latex. The level of water or solvent is controlling by the intended use of the composition. Pakusch discloses a coating=a water-based coating=paint composition without using organic solvent. In light of the disclosure in Haak the psa is prepared in the absence of solvent, column 10, line 18. The psa composition is not particularly moisture sensitive, column 11, lines 41-44, therefore, a psa can have a low outgassing level. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that a multi-stage emulsion polymer in Pakusch' invention upon evaporation of water can be a pressure-sensitive adhesive having a low outgassing level in light of the teaching of Haak because Haak and Pakusch does not use organic solvent.

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/075,041

Art Unit: 1711

Page 6

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

0.8

O.A. January 08, 2004 Olga Asinovsky Examiner Art Unit 1711

Rain engine ay Pase di Thompiosa Talung di Sasa di Thompiosa Talung di Sasa Rassa di Tib